Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/822,254	Applicant(s) TAREMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Kathleen M. Kerr	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _FAOM mailed 3/10/2005_

(1) _Kathleen M. Kerr_.

(3) _____.

(2) _Thomas Triolo_.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _12 July 2005_

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ 7/12/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Kerr informed Dr. Triolo that the instant application has been taken in AU 1656. As agreed previously, the FAOM mailed 3/10/2005 will be vacated and a new Office action with a new response time set will be mailed ASAP. Contrary to that cited in the previous interview summary, the new Office action will not be ready for mailing by July 15, 2005. A new action will be forth-coming mid-August, 2005 (with a new response time), which is well before the 6-month date of 9/10/2005 which is set by the previous Office action..